

mktdev@gmail.com

From: Eric <castlesb@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2023 12:21 PM
To: mktdev@gmail.com
Cc: 'Kara Van Abeele'
Subject: RE: ROMALDO WATER

Hi Bill,

Yes, a later document nullifies prior documents to the extent they are inconsistent. More importantly, the original language did not include any specific location for the pipeline easements. So even if you find similar easements in the other original deeds, the "easements" will be subject to challenge. Since the agreed process is to create easements with specific legal descriptions, the new easements will supersede any prior vague easements. Does that clear it up?

Eric W. Burkhardt
Beall & Burkhardt, APC
1114 State Street, Ste 200
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
(805) 966-6774
(805) 963-5988 (fax)
Castlesb@aol.com
Eric@BeallandBurkhardt.com

This e-mail may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete this e-mail.

From: mktdev@gmail.com <mktdev@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 25, 2023 8:54 AM
To: castlesb@aol.com
Cc: 'Kara Van Abeele' <kvanabeele@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: ROMALDO WATER

Hi Eric,

Below you mention "You could go through all the original grant deeds for each parcel and see if there is similar language. However, given the 1980 CC&R's, that exercise would be of limited benefit.". Does this mean that superseding statements in the latest agreements typically nullifies all related past agreements, and even without specifying which past specific agreements have been nullified?

Bill

From: castlesb@aol.com <castlesb@aol.com>
Sent: Friday, August 18, 2023 4:53 PM
To: mktdev@gmail.com
Cc: 'Kara Van Abeele' <kvanabeele@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: ROMALDO WATER

Hi Bill,

Friday afternoon is a good time for discourse! Yes, the blue links are helpful hyperlinks. This was the first such preliminary report for Romaldo I recall seeing so it was very helpful to me as well.

As to the description, I'm not sure if it is mandatory or just practice but every report I have seen for at least 20 years has that standard language. In the old days, we had to request the underlying documents so the hyperlinks are a great advancement.

Have a good weekend.

Eric

On Friday, August 18, 2023 at 04:44:19 PM PDT, <mktdev@gmail.com> wrote:

Thanks Eric.

This was a real eyeopener. My original question may be irrelevant but I want to be clear on what I was asking. As we were reading and trying to determine what these title reports were telling us, we noted some descriptions of the easements were clear and informative, as in the below:

Easement(s) for the purpose(s) shown below and rights incidental thereto, as granted in a document:

Granted to: Southern California Edison Company, a Corporation

Purpose: Electric line and incidental purposes

Recording Date: January 10, 1948

Recording No.: [Book 765, Page 207, of Official Records](#)

Affects: The exact location and extent of said easement is not disclosed

However many of them appeared meaningless, as I indicated below, and we never bothered to look at them. I now understand that this "meaningless statement was pulled from the cover of a CC&R, whereas before we assumed it was an autogenerated way for the Title company to remind the reader that the Title company did not discriminate. In other words, we did not realize there were important documents behind this disclaimer.

Covenants, conditions and restrictions but omitting any covenants or restrictions, if any, including but not

limited to those based upon age, race, color, religion, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression,

sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, ancestry, familial status, source of income, disability,

veteran or military status, genetic information, medical condition, citizenship, primary language, and

immigration status, as set forth in applicable state or federal laws, except to the extent that said

covenant or restriction is permitted by applicable law, as set forth in the document

Recording Date: May 5, 1980